# Kainate receptors: knocking out plasticity

James E. Huettner

There is increasing evidence that kainate receptors contribute to both postsynaptic and presynaptic signaling. Studies of knockout mice have played a pivotal role in defining the functions of kainate receptors, including a recent study that implicates kainate receptors in frequency-dependent facilitation and long-term potentiation of hippocampal mossy fiber synapses.

Current progress in kainate receptor research has built upon the discovery of selective pharmacological tools, the molecular analysis of recombinant receptors, and the study of knockout mouse lines. Five different protein subunits can contribute to kainate receptor complexes including GluR5, 6, 7, KA1 and KA2 (Ref. 1). Whole-cell currents mediated by recombinant kainate receptors exhibit diverse physiological and pharmacological properties that depend upon the subunit combination<sup>1</sup>. The precise composition of most native kainate receptors is not known with certainty; however, expression patterns for the different subunits show distinct, but overlapping, distributions<sup>2</sup>, providing one explanation for the variation in kainate receptor properties observed in different neuronal cell types<sup>3</sup>.

Lack of selective antagonists hampered research on kainate receptors for many years, but, with the discovery in 1995 of selective AMPA receptor blockers, a steady stream of work began to reveal the synaptic functions of kainate receptors<sup>1,4</sup>. First, evidence emerged that presynaptic kainate receptors might regulate transmitter release<sup>5-7</sup>. Next, kainate receptors in the postsynaptic membrane were shown to contribute to excitatory synaptic currents<sup>8,9</sup>. Much of this work focused on the hippocampus, however, kainate receptors also participate in synaptic transmission in spinal cord, cortex, retina, amygdala, and striatum (reviewed in Ref. 4). Generation of mice lacking specific kainate receptor subunits has added greatly to this work by providing the most direct evidence concerning the subunit composition of kainate receptors in specific cell populations<sup>10,11</sup>.

Collectively, these studies documented the presence of kainate receptors at synapses, but left open many questions about how they participate during ongoing transmission in physiological conditions. Now, two recent papers<sup>12,13</sup> have demonstrated an important role for kainate receptors at mossy fiber-CA3 synapses in frequencydependent synaptic facilitation, a form of short-term plasticity in which the strength of transmission increases with repetitive stimulation. The paper by Contractor et al.<sup>12</sup> also supports earlier evidence that kainate receptors are required for long-term potentiation (LTP) between mossy fibers and CA3 pyramidal cells<sup>14</sup>.

## 'Both facilitation and LTP at mossy fiber synapses are thought to involve presynaptic changes in transmitter release...'

Contractor et al.<sup>12</sup> recorded mossy fiber transmission in acutely-isolated hippocampal slices from knockout mice lacking either the GluR5 or GluR6 kainate receptor subunit. Surprisingly, slices from GluR5<sup>-/-</sup> animals exhibited no abnormalities in synaptic physiology, whereas slices from GluR6-/- mice displayed specific deficits in some, but not all, forms of plasticity. Facilitation during 5 Hz stimulation, and paired-pulse facilitation (PPF) for inter-pulse intervals less than 40 msec, were partially reduced in GluR6-/- slices, whereas PPF for longer intervals was not altered<sup>10</sup>. In addition, LTP at mossy fiber synapses was strongly attenuated in slices from GluR6-/animals, although there was little apparent change in post-tetanic potentiation.

Both facilitation and LTP at mossy fiber synapses are thought to involve presynaptic changes in transmitter release<sup>15</sup>, suggesting that the effects of GluR6 knockout might involve deletion of kainate receptors on presynaptic mossy fiber terminals. However, because the knockout animals have lost GluR6 from all of their cells it is difficult to rule out the possibility that at least some of the deficits observed in the GluR6<sup>-/-</sup> mice might reflect loss of postsynaptic kainate receptors, which are known to reside on CA3 neurons<sup>10</sup> and GABAergic interneurons<sup>16–18</sup>.

Previous work by Contractor and colleagues<sup>11</sup> provided evidence for the existence of kainate receptors on mossy fiber terminals that include the GluR6 subunit, but do not require GluR5. Prolonged activation of these receptors by exposure to exogenous agonists caused a significant reduction in transmission<sup>18,19</sup>, possibly by depolarization-induced inactivation of axonal Na<sup>+</sup> and/or Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels. Schmitz et al.<sup>18</sup> demonstrated inhibition of mossy fiber transmission not only by exogenous agonists, but also by endogenous glutamate released during tetanic stimulation of neighboring fibers, suggesting that presynaptic kainate receptors underlie a form of heterosynaptic inhibition. More recently, however, they found that very low doses of kainate (20-50 nm), or weaker stimulation of neighboring fibers, caused potentiation of mossy fiber transmission<sup>13</sup>. In addition, kainate receptor blockade reduced the frequencydependent facilitation of NMDA receptormediated mossy fiber EPSCs (Ref. 13). Collectively, these results suggest that presynaptic kainate receptors suppress excitatory transmission during periods of prolonged or high frequency stimulation, but with more mild levels of activation they might be facilitatory.

### 'A more fundamental question is whether kainate receptors are required for mossy fiber LTP at all.'

These papers<sup>12,13</sup> clearly demonstrate a role for kainate receptors in short-term plasticity; however, comparison with earlier studies raises several important questions about long-term changes in mossy fiber transmission. First, Bortolotto *et al.*<sup>14</sup> showed that superfusion of slices with the GluR5selective antagonist LY382884 prevented LTP induction at mossy fiber synapses. Why is this antagonist effective if the GluR5 subunit is not required for LTP, for facilitation, or for modulation of mossy fiber transmission by exogenous agonists? One possibility is that dentate granule neurons express heteromeric receptors that include both GluR5 and GluR6 (Ref. 17). The presence of GluR5 in wild-type cells would render their receptors sensitive to LY382884. Mice lacking GluR5 might still produce functional receptors, whereas deletion of GluR6 might prevent either the production of receptors or their delivery to the presynaptic membrane. One argument against this proposal is that granule cells, in addition to CA3 pyramidal neurons, express little if any *GluR5* mRNA, as assessed by *in situ* hybridization<sup>2,16,17</sup>. Alternatively, LY382884 might act on heteromeric kainate receptors that do not include a GluR5 subunit, in analogy with the agonist ATPA, which preferentially activates GluR5-containing receptors<sup>6</sup>, but can also activate receptors formed by the heteromeric co-assembly of GluR6 and KA2 (Ref. 17). A third possible scenario is that LY382884 affects mossy fiber transmission indirectly<sup>20</sup>, for example, by blocking kainate receptors on GABAergic interneurons, which are known to express the GluR5 subunit<sup>16–18,21</sup>. Indeed, Schmitz et al.<sup>18</sup> have shown that inhibition of mossy fiber transmission by ATPA involves the indirect activation of receptors on interneurons, whereas kainate and glutamate affect mossy fibers directly. Although it is difficult to envision how blockade of interneuronal receptors by LY382884 would reduce mossy fiber LTP, this possibility still needs to be examined. A more fundamental question is whether kainate receptors are required for mossy fiber LTP at all. Nicoll and colleagues have argued that they are not<sup>20,22</sup>, whereas several groups have produced evidence that mossy fiber LTP can be blocked or reduced under conditions that

suppress kainate receptor signaling<sup>12,14,23</sup>.

Although further work is needed to resolve these issues, kainate receptors are now clearly established as major players in excitatory transmission. Knockout mice will continue to be an important resource for sorting out the many remaining questions about kainate receptors and their functional roles throughout the nervous system.

#### Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Geoff Kerchner for many helpful comments. Supported by NIH grant NS30888.

#### References

- 1 Chittajallu, R. *et al.* (1999) Kainate receptors: subunits, synaptic localization and function. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 20, 26–35
- 2 Wisden, W. and Seeburg, P.H. (1993) A complex mosaic of high-affinity kainate receptors in rat brain. J. Neurosci. 13, 3582–3598
- 3 Wilding, T.J. and Huettner, J.E. (2001) Functional diversity and developmental changes in rat neuronal kainate receptors. J. Physiol. (London) 532, 411–421
- 4 Frerking, M. and Nicoll, R.A. (2000) Synaptic kainate receptors. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 10, 342–351
- 5 Chittajallu, R. *et al.* (1996) Regulation of glutamate release by presynaptic kainate receptors in the hippocampus. *Nature* 379, 78–81
- 6 Clarke, V.R. *et al.* (1997) A hippocampal GluR5 kainate receptor regulating inhibitory synaptic transmission. *Nature* 389, 599–603
- 7 Rodriguez-Moreno, A. *et al.* (1997) Kainate receptors presynaptically downregulate GABAergic inhibition in the rat hippocampus. *Neuron* 19, 893–901
- 8 Castillo, P.E. *et al.* (1997) Kainate receptors mediate a slow postsynaptic current in hippocampal CA3 neurons. *Nature* 388, 182–186
- 9 Vignes, M. and Collingridge, G.L. (1997) The synaptic activation of kainate receptors. *Nature* 388, 179–182
- 10 Mulle, C. *et al.* (1998) Altered synaptic physiology and reduced susceptibility to kainate-induced seizures in GluR6-deficient mice. *Nature* 392, 601–605

- 11 Contractor, A. *et al.* (2000) Identification of the kainate receptor subunits underlying modulation of excitatory synaptic transmission in the CA3 region of the hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 8269–8278
- 12 Contractor, A. *et al.* (2001) Kainate receptors are involved in short and long term plasticity at mossy fiber synapses in the hippocampus. *Neuron* 29, 209–216
- 13 Schmitz, D. et al. (2001) Presynaptic kainate receptor mediation of frequency facilitation at hippocampal mossy fiber synapses. Science 291, 1972–1976
- 14 Bortolotto, Z.A. *et al.* (1999) Kainate receptors are involved in synaptic plasticity. *Nature* 402, 297–301
- 15 Zalutsky, R.A. and Nicoll, R.A. (1990) Comparison of two forms of long-term potentiation in single hippocampal neurons. *Science* 248, 1619–1624
- 16 Bureau, I. et al. (1999) Kainate receptor-mediated responses in the CA1 field of wild type and GluR6deficient mice. J. Neurosci. 19, 653–663
- 17 Paternain, A.V. et al. (2000) GluR5 and GluR6 kainate receptor subunits coexist in hippocampal neurons and coassemble to form functional receptors. J Neurosci. 20, 196–205
- 18 Schmitz, D. et al. (2000) Synaptic activation of presynaptic kainate receptors on hippocampal mossy fiber synapses. Neuron 27, 327–338
- 19 Kamiya, H. and Ozawa, S. (2000) Kainate receptor-mediated presynaptic inhibition at the mouse hippocampal mossy fibre synapse. *J Physiol. (London).* 523, 653–665
- 20 Nicoll, R.A. *et al.* (2000) Kainate receptors and synaptic plasticity. *Nature* 406, 957
- 21 Cossart, R. (1998) GluR5 kainate receptor activation in interneurons increases tonic inhibition of pyramidal cells. *Nat. Neurosci.* 1, 470–478
- 22 Mellor, J. and Nicoll, R.A. (2001) Hippocampal mossy fiber LTP is independent of postsynaptic calcium. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4, 125–126
- 23 Yeckel, M.F. et al. (1999) Multiple forms of LTP in hippocampal CA3 neurons use a common postsynaptic mechanism. Nature Neurosci. 2, 625–633

#### James E. Huettner

Associate Professor of Cell Biology and Physiology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St Louis, MO 63110, USA.

e-mail: heuttner@cellbio.wustl.edu

# Letters to the Editor: Trends in Neurosciences : a forum for comment

The Editor welcomes correspondence from readers of *Trends in Neurosciences* on any articles published. Please state clearly whether or not you wish the letter to be considered for publication. If a letter is considered suitable for publication, it will be sent to the author of the original article for their response; both the letter and reply will be published together. Please note: submission does not guarantee publication.

Please address Letters to: Dr Siân Lewis, Editor *Trends in Neurosciences*, 84 Theobald's Road, London, UK WC1X 8RR.